

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

MICHAEL F. CHAVEZ,

Petitioner,

Case No. 1:17-cv-00871-KG-KRS

v.

GERMAN FRANCO, Warden;
and STATE OF NEW MEXICO,

Respondents.

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO AMEND REPLY
TO RESPONDENTS' ANSWER**

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on two filings from Petitioner. The first is styled “Amended Motion for Denial of Respondents[sic] Request for Time Bar Dismissal” (Doc. 18) and the second “Motion to Amend/Correct Response.” (Doc. 17). Taken together, Petitioner moves the Court to amend his reply to Respondents’ answer to his habeas corpus petition. The “amended motion” constitutes the corrected reply. The Court has reviewed the motion to amend the reply to which Respondents’ have not responded and concludes the new reply assists in clarifying and narrowing the issues.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that Petitioner’s motion to amend (Doc. 17) is **GRANTED**.



KEVIN R. SWEAZE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE